

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/760,957

Applicant(s)

YU, SUN

Examiner

Darren W. Ark

Art Unit

3643

All Participants:(1) Darren W. Ark.(2) Allen M. Krass.**Status of Application:** Response to Non-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 December 2004**Time:** 5:00pm EST**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**DARREN W. ARK
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner stated that claim 1, line 2, should be amended by deleting the term "coating" since this term is not broad enough to encompass the limitation of claim 2 of "embedded particulate" which cannot be considered as a coating of any sort. Applicant agreed to the proposed change. Please see Examiner's Amendment for details.